

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

: 10/527,646

Applicant

: Robert NITSCH et al

Filed

: June 28, 2005

TC/A.U.

: 1652

Examiner

: Igbal Hossain Chowdhury

Docket No.

: 2958-131

Customer No.: 6449

Confirmation No.: 1021

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

December 30, 2005

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed on December 1, 2005, the applicants hereby elect Group I, namely claims 1-2 and 21, drawn to an isolated polypeptide lipid phosphate phosphatase (LPP).

In response to the Examiner's further restriction requirement, the applicants provisionally select Group (A), the protein of SEQ ID No. 1 or a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID NO. 1.

The applicants are unable to traverse this restriction requirement, as the Examiner did not explain why further restriction between Groups A-L was required, and without knowledge of the Examiner's position, the applicants are not in a position to file a meaningful traverse. The Examiner is requested to reconsider this second restriction requirement accordingly. Should the Examiner intend to maintain the second restriction requirement, then clarification of the reasons why restriction is required is requested.

Early and favorable action on the merits is awaited.

Respectfully submitted,

Ву

Robert B. Murray

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 22,980

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK

1425 K. Street, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 783-6040

RBM/cb